In accordance with Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 (B)(1), departments are required to have a committee of the eligible faculty, which votes on personnel matters. Most departments have a standing committee that focuses on undergraduate curriculum and related matters, a standing committee that focuses on graduate curriculum and related matters, and a standing committee that provides administrative service for P&T reviews. All other standing committees are specific to department needs. The chair typically appoints members to standing committees—in part to assure a fair distribution of service effort among faculty and in part to assure appropriate membership in terms of expertise, diversity and other considerations.

**Promotion and Tenure Committee Description**

Each department has a Promotion and Tenure Committee that assists the Committee of the Eligible Faculty in managing the personnel and promotion and tenure issues. The committee’s chair and membership are appointed by the department chair. The term of service is three years, with reappointment possible.

**Promotion and Tenure Committee Responsibilities**

The recommended responsibilities of the Promotion and Tenure Committee are as follows:

- To review this document annually and to recommend proposed revisions to the faculty.

- To consider annually, in spring semester, requests from faculty members seeking a non-mandatory review in the following academic year and to decide whether it is appropriate for such a review to take place. Only professors on the committee may consider promotion review requests to the rank of professor. A simple majority of those eligible to vote on a request must vote affirmatively for the review to proceed.

  o The committee bases its decision on assessment of the record as presented in the faculty member's CV and on a determination of the availability of all required documentation for a full review (student and peer evaluations of teaching). Lack of the required documentation is necessary and sufficient grounds on which to deny a non-mandatory review.
A tenured or non-probationary faculty member may only be denied a formal promotion review under Faculty Rule 3335-6-04 (http://trustees.osu.edu/rules/university-rules.html) for one year. If the denial is based on lack of required documentation and the faculty member insists that the review go forward in the following year despite incomplete documentation, the individual should be advised that such a review is unlikely to be successful.

- A decision by the committee to permit a review to take place in no way commits the eligible faculty, the department chair, or any other party to the review to making a positive recommendation during the review itself.

- Annually, in late spring through early autumn semester, to provide administrative support for the promotion and tenure review process as described below.

  - **Late Spring:** Select from among its members a Procedures Oversight Designee who will serve in this role for the following year. The Procedures Oversight Designee cannot be the same individual who chairs the committee. The Procedures Oversight Designee's responsibilities are described in the Office of Academic Affairs annual procedural guidelines.

  - **Late Spring:** Suggest names of external evaluators to the department chair.

  - **Summer:** Gather internal evidence of the quality of the candidate’s teaching, scholarship, and service from students and peers, as appropriate, within the department.

  - **Early Autumn:** Review candidates' dossiers for completeness, accuracy (including citations), and consistency with Office of Academic Affairs requirements; and work with candidates to assure that needed revisions are made in the dossier before the formal review process begins.

  - Meet with each candidate for clarification as necessary and to provide the candidate an opportunity to comment on his or her dossier. This meeting is not an occasion to debate the candidate's record.

  - To make adequate copies of each candidate's dossier available in an accessible place for review by the eligible faculty at least two weeks before the meeting at which specific cases are to be discussed and voted.

  - Draft an analysis of the candidate's performance in teaching, scholarship and service to provide to the full eligible faculty with the dossier; and seek to clarify any inconsistent evidence in the case, where possible. The committee neither votes on cases nor takes a position in presenting its analysis of the record.
o Revise the draft analysis of each case following the faculty meeting, to include the faculty vote and a summary of the faculty perspectives expressed during the meeting; and forward the completed written evaluation and recommendation to the department chair.

o Provide a written response, on behalf of the eligible faculty, to any candidate comments that warrant response, for inclusion in the dossier.