ATTENDANCE

Robert Small, President      Jillian Schwaab    Amy Lovett-Racke    Vijay Pancholi
Andrej Rotter, Vice President Michael Tweedle    Rebecca Kuennen    Kathy Waller
Jas Lang                      Jen Lucas         Amy Gewirtz          Victoria Lawson
Georgia Bishop                Michael Firstenberg Chang-Yong Tsao    Doug Martin

❖ MINUTES

❖ President’s Report

❖ College Assembly Report

Dr. Embi discussed bioinformatics
Research space. There is a new push by the administration to quantify the research dollars brought in by researchers in proportion to the space they have. For example, the proposed expectation is that one bring in $450 in grant money for every square foot in the BRT. Other areas are as low as $200/sqft. This may be lumped together by department, such that it would be up to the chair to decide how to “enforce” such criteria. The “deciders” are apparently Cecil Smith, Clay Marsh and C. Whittaker. There was a good deal of discussion that is hard to reproduce. Concerns regarded whether this was a fiscal proposal, or a motivational one. How one might be forced out of a space was unclear, as was what money (directs, indirects, additional clinical income, etc.) might count toward the number. There was discussion about whether one could consolidate
similar types of labs to conserve resources. There was also concern about any future efforts to maximize space that tried to cut down on shared space (break rooms, etc), as those are often the areas that students and post-docs are able to read, write and eat, which are all essential to their work in the “lab”.

- Onboarding. There was been a system in the last year for onboarding new executive staff, but this is being expanded to include all new faculty this summer.
- There was also discussion about the budget (there will be less money) and the Faculty Group Practice/OSU structure (also undefined).

**BUDGETS**

- This led to an ad hoc discussion about budgeting within the COM and medical center. Most people seemed to feel under informed about the types of income streams and outflows, and especially about how money moved around within the institution. Again, this is hard to translate into minutes, but there were a few themes.
  - How much information should an individual faculty member know about money flow in the institution?
  - Are the budgets of different departments too dissimilar to talk about budget structure in a group as varied as the FC? Would disparities in how budgets are done actually be helpful for FC reps, or would it cause too much confusion?
  - Would it be helpful to know at least the types of income and payment streams that each department might have?
  - Dr. Small will discuss this with the administration and report back in May with possible options about faculty education regarding the budget(s).

**NOMINATIONS**

- Nominations are open for Vice-President (who is also president-elect), Secretary, and two College Assembly representatives. If you are interested, please e-mail Dr. Small or express your interest at the May meeting. Voting will occur in June.

**NEW BUSINESS**

- See also “Budgets” above
- Members are encouraged to bring topics for “new business” to the attention of the officers or to the meetings. Any faculty-related theme would be appropriate.

These minutes are highly paraphrased and condensed. Any misrepresentation or omission of an individual’s comments is unintentional and will gladly be corrected.

Respectfully submitted,

Alan E. Harzman, MD

CC: Keri Hudec for website